



TOUCHSTONE CPM

CONSTRUCTION PLANNING & MANAGEMENT

2301 Baton Rouge ■ Lima, Ohio 45802-0991

**Fremont City Schools
New Middle School
Fremont, Ohio**

PROGRESS MEETING MINUTES #7

Attendees:

Brian Hurst, TCPM	Dale Niemeyer, VPS/TCPM
Mike Huddleston, Telamon	John Keller, Fanning/Howey
Jim Krock, Warner	Erica Williams, City of Fremont
Dave Chambers, FCS	Rob Haas, Lake Erie
Mickey Drcar, Lake Erie	Christopher Liebold, Fremont
Kim Theller, FCS	Chris Moore, TCPM
Roger Evans, CTL	Amanda Burgess, Touchstone
Dan Knisely, Telamon	Steve Meyer, Telamon
Maurika Lake, CTL	

Distribution:

All Attendees	Dave Dixon, SA Storer
Norma Etgen, Fanning/Howey	Lynne Wetoskey, Warner
David Archer, Polster	Jamie Trombley, Touchstone
Michael Quaintance, CRM	Mark Ramsey, Central Fire
Phil Becker, Stan	Ric Bonfiglio, Lake Erie
Richard Lake, CTL	Jim Bennett, TCCorp
Jamie Powers, Central Fire	Faye Eishen, FCS
Kyle Bullock, TCPM	Curt South, Fanning/Howey
Traci McCaudy, FCS	Dave Saller, FCS
Dave Reinbolt, FCS	Mark Hotz, Warner
Mike Bossetti, Telamon	

Date/Time: July 7, 2010 – 1:00 pm

Location: Touchstone Field Office

A. General Information

- **Request for Information (RFI's)**
 - 1) 23 RFI's have been submitted. RFI #22 and #23 are currently open.
- **Architectural Supplemental Instructions (ASI)**
 - 1) 10 ASI's have been issued to date.
- **Request for Proposal's**
 - 1) 11 RFP's have been issued.
- **Submittals/Shop Drawings**
 - 1) Telamon door frame submittal was returned as revise and resubmit. Telamon noted that they are still able to release frames as necessary.

- 2) Plumbing underground will be submitted this week with equipment.
- 3) Electrical underground submittal has been turned in.
- 4) Structural steel framing that is under review is critical. Telamon would like them returned by next week.
- 5) Kitchen rough in drawings are expected from Polsters this week.

▪ **Plan Approval - Contractor Items**

- 1) The following items are to be submitted to Fanning/Howey for submission to the state for plan approval:
 - A. Fire Protection System – Central Fire
 - B. Fire Alarm – Lake Erie
 - C. Bleachers – Telamon
 - D. Occupant Signage – Telamon
 - E. Fire Stopping Materials – Telamon, Lake Erie, Warner
 - F. Steel Joist Placement Plans for Field use – Telamon
 - G. Emergency Generator Fuel Tank – Lake Erie
 - H. Kitchen Hood and Exhaust System – Polster
 - I. Kitchen Hood Fire Suppression System – Poster
- 2) Note that Fanning/Howey will submit all drawings to the state. Contractors are to provide Fanning/Howey with 6 hard copies via Touchstone.
- 3) Contractors are to submit after they receive submittal approval from Fanning/Howey.

▪ **Safety**

- 1) Job site safety is of utmost importance on site for not only each contractor's employees but also anyone else working on the jobsite. Each contractor's superintendent is responsible for his/her crew's safety including:
 - A. Compliance with OSHA requirements.
 - B. This is a hardhat project.
 - C. Contractor clean-up needs to take place on a daily basis.
- 2) There is to be no smoking on the project.
- 3) Photo identification cards to be displayed at all times.
- 4) Temporary power is now in place with primaries installed underground. Contractors need take care during excavations at underground locations.

▪ **Quality**

- 1) Masonry pre-installation conference was held on 6/30/10. Telamon will be constructing a new mock up showing a complete installation with all flashings, end dams, and a sample control joint.
- 2) Structural steel erection pre-installation is tentatively set for 7/21/10.

▪ **Project Schedule**

- 1) *Currently the project is working from the milestone schedule included in the bid documents. A draft schedule has been prepared by TCPM and sent to Telamon for confirmation of phasing and general trade's activity durations. Once comments from Telamon have been incorporated into the schedule, it will be distributed to all trades for review and input (6/2/10). Mike Huddleston noted that he expects to have all comments to TCPM by 6/11 (6/9/10). The draft schedule has been sent to all primes. Comments are due within 2 weeks (6/16/10). Warner to have comments to TCPM by end of week 7/2 (6/30/10). Final draft of schedule to be issued next week for review. Sign off schedule to be issued late next week.*
- 2) *The schedule for work in front of and around the high school along with the Cedar Street work was discussed. Sanitary work in front of the high school will start on 7/9. The line should be installed up to Cedar Street by 7/21 if all goes well. The Cedar Street bus entrance work will pave 8/9. Telamon will fence this area in week of 7/6. At some point*

after the 21st, Telamon will need to make the tie-in's at 2 spots by the high school and then in Cedar Street. Work in Cedar Street will require a lane closure. Once that is done, they will asphalt the drive and make parking lot and road repairs, late July or early August. The water line tie-in in Cedar Street will also be done before school starts (6/23/10).

B. Touchstone

- 1) Quarterly LEED meeting will be scheduled.
- 2) Brian Hurst asked that the remainder of all silt fencing be installed. Weekly inspection logs should be kept by Telamon for review (6/16/10). Brian noted that this was reviewed in the field with Telamon and will be fully installed in a week or two. Still needs completed (6/23/10).
- 3) A Coordination Drawing Meeting will be held on 7/28 at 12:30 pm in the TCPM field office. TCPM will coordinate attendance (6/30/10).
- 4) All contractors to review compaction requirements in yard spaces.

C. Telamon

Progress to Date:

- D and M – dig footings, cut road to grade and stone
- Toledo Caisson: drive piling and cut off 6" above bottom of footings
- Telamon: dig and pour footings

Two week look-ahead schedule:

- D and M – CB work, sanitary at front of high school start at Ceirder Street
- Telamon: dig and pour footings
- Toledo Caisson: drive piling

D. CRM (No report)

Not on site

E. Polster (No report)

Not on site

F. Central Fire (No report)

Not on site

G. Warner

Progress to Date:

- Sleeves
- Coordination Drawings

Two week look-ahead schedule:

- Sleeves
- Coordination Drawings

H. Lake Erie Electric

Progress to Date:

- Temporary service is complete
- Trailers are hooked up
- Sleeves were installed in foundation areas where needed
- Started primary conduit for AEP on Tuesday

Two week look-ahead schedule:

- Continue to sleeve foundation where needed.
- Continue underground for primary conduits and communication conduits

Contractor Concerns:

- Site is congested. Need grass area to east cleared to continue underground.

I. Fanning Howey

- 1) John Keller to review MH and CB invert concrete pours. He will review the elevator sump and drainage after the meeting with Telamon, Warner, and TCPM.

J. Fremont

- 1) District expressed concern over an existing fiber optic line in the path of the Cedar drive extension to the bus parking area. Telamon to locate prior to mass excavation.

These minutes constitute an understanding of the meeting and will be considered correct unless Touchstone is notified within ten days of distribution.

The next Progress Meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, July 14, 2010, at 1:00 p.m. The meeting will be held in the TCPM Field Office.

**Attachments: RFI Log, Submittal Log
ASI Log, RFP Log**

Fremont City School District New Middle School

Package	Description	Rev	Action	Rec'd from Contractor	Sent to Architect	Rec'd From Architect	Inv'd To Contractor	Contractor
03-3000.00	Cast-in-Place Concrete							
03-3000.01	Concrete Design Mix and Test Result Product Data	0	Closed	05/13/10	05/13/10	05/19/10	05/19/10	Telamon
03-3000.02	Steel Reinforcement Shop Drawings	0	Note Markings/Approved	05/26/10	05/26/10	06/09/10	06/09/10	Telamon
04-2000.00	Unit Masonry							
	Product Data/Cut Sheets--Common Block, Nortar, IPCMU, Rein. Wire, Anchor/Mesh Ties, Weeps/Vents, Control Joints, Rebar Positioners, Termination Bars, Flashing, Drip Edge, Cavity Protection, Column Isolation, Expansion Joint, Masonry Grout, Cold Weather Admixture, and Grout Stop							
04-2000.01		0	Closed	05/25/10	05/25/10	06/07/10	06/09/10	Telamon
04-2000.02	Glazed Concrete Block Sample *Add Notes in Spiffire	0	A/E Review	05/25/10	05/25/10			Telamon
04-2000.03	Weep Color Samples *Add notes in Spiffire	0	A/E Review	05/25/10	05/25/10			Telamon
05-1200.00	Structural Steel Framing							
05-1200.01	Beam Shop Drawings (Dwgs. 100-180)	0	A/E Review	06/28/10	06/30/10			Telamon
05-1200.02	Framing Plans & Details Shop Drawings (E-9 thru E-12)	0	A/E Review	06/28/10	06/30/10			Telamon
05-1200.03	Bent Plates Shop Drawing (Dwg. 228)	0	A/E Review	06/28/10	06/30/10			Telamon
05-1200.04	Columns Shop Drawings	0	A/E Review	06/28/10	06/30/10			Telamon
05-2100.00	Steel Joist Framing							
05-2100.01	Steel Joist Shop Drawings (J1 thru J6)	0	Note Markings/Approved	06/10/10	06/11/10	06/21/10	06/21/10	Telamon
05-3100.00	Steel Decking							
05-3100.01	Shop Drawings (D1-D2, D2.1, D3.0-D10, SC1, SC8, and SC9.0)	0	Note Markings/Approved	06/02/10	06/02/10	06/21/10	06/21/10	Telamon
05-5000.00	Metal Fabrications							
05-5000.01	Anchor Bolt Shop Drawings (Pages 1, AB1-AB4)	0	Closed	05/13/10	05/13/10	05/21/10	05/21/10	Telamon
05-5000.02	Steel Lintels & Embed Shop Drawings (Pgs. 2-6, E-1 thru E-8)	0	A/E Review	06/02/10	06/02/10			Telamon
07-2100.00	Thermal Insulation							
07-2100.01	2" Dow styrofoam highload 40 foundation insulation	0	Closed	06/11/10	06/11/10	06/21/10	06/21/10	Telamon
07-2100.02	4" Owens Corning foamular 400 freezer slab insulation	0	Closed	06/11/10	06/11/10	06/21/10	06/21/10	Telamon
08-1114.00	Hollow Metal Frames							
08-1114.01	Hollow Metal Door & Frame Schedule	0	Revise and Resbmit	06/10/10	06/10/10	06/25/10	06/29/10	Telamon
08-1114.02	Hollow Metal Frame Cut Sheets	0	Revise and Resbmit	06/10/10	06/10/10	06/25/10	06/29/10	Telamon
08-1416.00	Flush Wood Doors							
08-1416.01	Shop Drawings	0	A/E Review	06/10/10	06/14/10			Telamon
08-1416.02	Finishing Guide	0	A/E Review	06/10/10	06/14/10			Telamon
08-7100.00	Door Hardware							
08-7100.01	Hardware Schedule Sets	0	Revise and Resubmit	06/10/10	06/11/10	06/24/10	06/28/10	Telamon
08-7100.02	Door Index and Door Hardware Cut Sheets	0	Closed	06/10/10	06/11/10	06/24/10	06/28/10	Telamon

Package	Description	Rev	Action	Rec'd from Contractor	Sent to Architect	Rec'd from Architect	Frwd To Contractor	Contractor
21-1000.00	Water-Based Fire Suppression Systems							
21-1000.01	Product Data/Cut Sheets	0	A/E Review	07/01/10	07/02/10			Central Fire
21-3216.00	Fire Pumps							
21-3216.01	Fire Pump Product Data/Cut Sheets	0	Closed	05/05/10	05/06/10	05/19/10	05/20/10	Central Fire
23-3113.01	Metal Ducts							
23-3113.02	Spiral Duct Product Data/Cut Sheets	0	Closed	06/17/10	06/18/10	06/22/10	06/24/10	Warner Mechanic
23-3116.01	Nonmetal Ducts							
23-3116.02	Fabric Air Duct Product Data/Cut Sheets	0	Amend and Resubmit	06/17/10	06/18/10	06/22/10	06/24/10	Warner Mechanic
23-3300.01	Air Duct Accessories							
23-3300.02	Safe Air Damper Product Data/Cut Sheets	0	A/E Review	06/17/10	06/18/10			Warner Mechanic
23-3423.01	HVAC Power Ventilators							
23-3423.02	Cook Fan Product Data/Cut Sheets (w/ 23-3713.01:0 and 23-3723.01:0)	0	A/E Review	06/17/10	06/18/10			Warner Mechanic
23-3423.03	Cook Fan Color Selections	0	A/E Review	06/17/10	06/18/10			Warner Mechanic
23-3713.01	Diffusers, Registers and Grilles							
23-3723.00	Diffuser and Grille Product Data/Cut Sheets (w/ 23-3423.01:0 and 23-3723.01:0)	0	A/E Review	06/17/10	06/18/10			Warner Mechanic
23-3723.01	HVAC Gravity Ventilators							
23-3723.02	Kitchen Hood Product Data/Cut Sheets (w/ 23-3423.01:0 and 23-3713.01:0)	0	A/E Review	06/17/10	06/18/10			Warner Mechanic
23-5100.01	Breachings, Chimneys and Stacks							
23-5100.02	Breaching/Flue Product Data/Cut Sheets	0	Rejected	06/17/10	06/18/10	06/22/10	06/24/10	Warner Mechanic
26-0543.00	Underground Ducts and Raceways for Electrical Systems							
26-0543.01	Product Dat/Cut Sheets	0	A/E Review	07/01/10	07/01/10			Lake Erie Electric
33-3216.00	Packaged Storm Water Pumping Station		0					



TOUCHSTONE CPM
CONSTRUCTION PLANNING & MANAGEMENT

RFI Log

Fremont New Middle School

Last Updated:

RFI #	Contractor Ref #	Prime	RFI Date	Contractor's Request & Recommendation	AI/EA's Response	Requested Response Date	Actual Response Date
1		Telamon	04/30/10	Please refer to note 1a under part 2.2/A of the Metal Decking Specs. This note calls for A G90 finish for the 3" Roof Decking at Exposed Areas.	The G90 zinc coating was required for the exposed deck of the screen wall alternate (detail 1-S2.17) that was not accepted. Therefore G60 zinc coating is acceptable for all deck types.	5/7/2010	5/4/2010
2		Telamon	05/04/10	It appears the structures are too shallow. Example attached. Top of casting 622.2 - 9" casting = 621.45 - 12" flat top = 620.45 leaving you 1.13 feet between the flow line and joint of the manhole. These structure are supposed to be booted which means they must have 6" of concrete above the 16" opening required for the boot.	CBF1 was included in the shop drawing submittal received on 5-14-10. An ODOT 2-2B was substituted and approved per the shop drawing submittal.	5/7/2010	5/21/2010
3		Telamon	05/12/10	Please confirm that the Spectra Glaze units are not scored, there is no indication or confirmation either way?	Per the drawings and the specifications, the Spectra Glaze units are not to be scored.	5/19/2010	5/17/2010
4		Telamon	05/12/10	The page and note referenced above depict a starting and stopping point for SFCMU... is this correct or it is suppose to read SFCMU?	Yes, that note should "SFCMU".	5/19/2010	5/17/2010
5		Telamon	05/12/10	Please provide clarification on the brick in the student dining, stage and restroom area, anywhere there is a J wall. There is a discrepancy between wall type and interior elevation on A8.7	There is no brick on the interior of the building; refer to interior elevation on A8.7.	5/19/2010	5/17/2010
6		Telamon	05/19/10	Sheet S2.5 between lines R and T and Lines 46 and 47 show (4) W8 x 10 beams to be at elevation 125'4". All of the other beams in this area are shown to be at elevation 126'4". We cannot find a reason for the 1'0" drop in elevation. Should top of steel be the same for all beams on this floor at 126'4"?	The (3) W8 X 10 and (1) W12 X 14 beams should be at elevation 126'4" to match Unit C 3rd floor beams	5/26/2010	5/20/2010
7		Telamon	05/19/10	Sheet S2.4 there are some beams in the area of RM C120 between lines S,8 and AJ and above line 43 showing an elevation of 126'4". All of the other beams in this area are at elevation 113'0". Should all beams on this sheet be at elevation 113'0"?	All 2nd floor beams in Unit C shall be at elevation 113'0"	5/26/2010	5/20/2010
8		Telamon	05/19/10	Sheet S2.4 and S2.5 @ C.L. 47 between lines T and X is a W12 X 14 beam that appears to be off set just North of line 47. We have referenced the Architectural Drawing for stair C104 section 5 and 6/A10.4 appear to show these beams to be inside the masonry wall and in line with the roof beam. Should the W12 X 14 beams on 2nd and 3rd floor be on line 47 like the W 14 X 22 at the roof on Sheet S2.6?	The W12 X 14 beams on the 2nd and 3rd floors shall be offset 10" from column line 47 as shown on the structural plans. The masonry walls shown on stair sections shall continue to deck	5/26/2010	5/20/2010
9		Telamon	05/20/10	Sheet S2.4 and S2.5 on the left side of the sheet between C.L. 46 and 47, a dimension of 9'-8 3/8" is given. If you follow these same C.L. over to the right side of the sheet there are two dimensions given (4'-10" + 4'-10") which equal an even 9'8" losing 3/8". Which dimension should we use for the spacing between C.L. 46 and 47?	The distance between referenced column lines is 9'-8-3/8". The two dimensions are to the centerline of beams and not to the centerline of column.	5/27/2010	5/21/2010

RFI#	Contractor Ref.#	Prime	RFI Date	Contractor's Request & Recommendation	AEI's Response	Requested Response Date	Actual Response Date
10		Telamon	05/21/10	Sheet S2.4 and S2.5 between C.L. 51 and E2 is framing for a bay window. There are W18 X 40 beams running from columns AA to AC, AC to AG to AJ. These beams do not have end reactions assigned to them. By our calculations the beams running on the diagonal would have a reaction of over 90 kips and the beam between AC and AG would be over 46 kips. Are we correct in our calculations? If not, please provide the actual end reactions for these beams.	Design and connections for the referenced beams for a maximum reaction of 8 kips.	5/28/2010	5/24/2010
11		Telamon	05/21/10	Sheet S2.4 and S2.5 shows a W 18 X 40 beam between lines X and AA on C.L. 49 without end reactions. According to the specifications we are to design connections for the uniform load for the span of this beam. According to our calculations this would be over 200 kips, which we feel would be more than what is required. With this said, would our calculations be correct? If not, can you provide the actual end reactions for these beams?	Design and connections for the referenced beams for a maximum reaction of 8 kips.	5/28/2010	5/24/2010
12		Telamon	05/21/10	Reference the attached email regarding the issues discovered during the site work surveying and the solutions suggested.....please respond with direction.	The original survey was not done by ESA Associates. Additional clarification is required in order to find the points referenced.	5/28/2010	5/25/2010
12 Rev		Telamon	05/12/10		We exchanged electronic files with the surveyor doing the construction staking and discussed the situation with him. The existing curb of the existing west perimeter drive around the high school is not located correctly on the topographic survey that we received. The curb is approximately 6" further west than shown. The remedy to this situation is to reduce the distance between the new MS building and the asphalt drive from 14.1' to 13.6' +/--. The new storm sewer and 2 catch basins will need to be adjusted accordingly. Please refer to the attached sketch. The width of the asphalt drive will remain 15' and the location of the new MS building will remain as is.	5/28/2010	6/1/2010
13		Telamon	05/28/10	Sheet S2.6 have many W8 X 10 beams in various locations ranging in length from 4'6" to 9'-8 3/8" without end reactions assigned to them. Can these reactions be provided?	Design connections for all W 8 X 10 beams on S2.6 for a maximum reaction of 6 kips. Provide a minimum of two bolts per connection	6/4/2010	6/2/2010
14		Telamon	06/07/10	Reference Sheet S2.5, please provide the required spacing for the W 12 X 19 beams between C.L. K and R and lines 41 and 46	See attachment for spacing as provided by FHA.	6/10/10	6/8/10
15		Telamon	06/07/10	(1) Reference the #4 @ 16" c to c. When the foundation width requires four (4) horizontal bars with no center bar, which bars do these go on? (Reference the attached drawing) (2) Reference the typical width measurement from the rebar to the foundation edge 3".....is the 3" coverage to the bar or stirrup? (Reference the attached drawing)	(1) The #4 at 12" c to c (see addendum #2) referenced can be hooked on either of the two interior sets of bars in the provided drawing. (2) The 3" coverage is to the stirrup.	6/11/10	6/8/10
16		Telamon	06/08/10	Clarification needed for start/stop of split face masonry wall. (1) Provide start/stop point noted on A8.2--the lines do not line up with the floor plan A1.2 which notes more of the wall by door B147 as a W8J. (2) Do the split face units carry over the first bulkhead just north of B147? We assume it carries over the second bulkhead north of B147 and from there the splits go all the way up.	The start and stop of the split face cmu (SFCMU) in plan, is noted on A8.2, which takes precedence over the notes on A1.2. The walls inside of Rooms B145 and B146 should be painted smooth face cmu. In elevation, SFCMU is to run from floor to underside of roof deck/to 4" above any lay in ceiling. Please provide a lay in ceiling north of Room B147 (similar to the lay in ceiling located outside of Room B146) in lieu of an exposed ceiling and running SFCMU to deck.	6/15/10	6/22/10
17		Telamon	06/08/10	Clarification needed for sightlines (1) A6.1 window schedule--Window types B & D show a 5' sightline at the sunshades. Window Types A & E show a smaller sightline, however no detail or cut through to verify actual dimension of sight line and (2) Elevation 3.1 show sunshade with 5' sightline and window types A & E show the smaller sightline. Type A & E don't have a cut through or detail to show its sightline dimension	For clarification, window Types A and E do not have sunshades on them anywhere on the plan. Only window Types B and D have sunshades.	6/15/10	6/10/10
18		Telamon	06/08/10				

RFI #	Contractor Ref #	Prime	RFI Date	Contractor's Request & Recommendation	A/E's Response	Requested Response Date	Actual Response Date
18	19	Telamon	06/15/10	<p>Reference the drawing listed above and clarify the following... 1. Is "X" line at the bottom of the page really supposed to be "Y"? This line reads Y on sheet S 1.3P.</p> <p>2. 32.9 line is 1 in. north of 33 line. What is 32.9 line representing? 3. Are the pilings at 20 line / S line supposed to be centered on line 20? 4. Are the piling at P line /21 line centered on P line? 5. Are the pilings at 25 line centered on the 14' 6" measurement from AH line? 6. Can we have the pilings at entrance 101 dimensioned parallel to the construction lines? 7. Can we have the pilings at 33.4 line / AR.8 line dimensioned parallel to the construction lines?</p>	<p>1. The column line should be "Y" instead of "X" to match S1.3P. 2. Column line 32.9 represents the centerline of the 10" CMU between column lines U and AP. 3. No. The pilings should be centered on the masonry column. 4. No. The pilings should be centered on the masonry column. 5. Yes. 6. See attachment. 7. See attachment.</p>	6/22/10	6/22/10
19	20	Telamon	06/15/10	<p>Reference the drawing listed above and clarify the following... In the south parking lot, reference the narrow island that meets the existing drive... These elevations do not seem right. There is a 12" curb at the north west side and a 10-13/16" curb in the middle and a 6" curb at the south end. Also, the new asphalt elevation and existing asphalt elevation have a 3" difference. Are these elevations the design intent?</p>	<p>Refer to attached referenced rawing RFI No. 19 for revised grading along the narrow curb.</p>	6/22/10	6/22/10
20	21	Telamon	06/18/10	<p>(1) Reference the pilings at Line 42 and 45 just west of Line D, please provide dimensions east and west from construction lines. (2) Reference the 3 piles at Line AJ and 50...are these piles a typical 3 pile layout? Also, please provide dimension north/south and east/west from construction lines (3) Reference the pile at Line X, third in from the south side of Area C...please provide dimensions north/south. (4) Reference between line 37, 38, 39, and 40...the dimension and hatching are not clear. We believe one of the 148" dimensions are not needed, please clarify.</p>	<p>(1) Pilings at Line 42 and 45 shall be 56" west of column line D (2) Yes, the piles are a typical 3 pile layout. Locate per Detail 14-S1.5 at intersection of column lines AJ and 51 (3) Locate 15'4" north of column line 50 (4) One 148" dimension should be 10'4" between lines 37 and 38</p>		
21	22	Telamon	06/24/10	<p>Reference Spec Section 086223-Tubular Daylighting Devices, 2.02 Components, Item G. Calls for Daylight Dimmers. However, we are unable to determine if this applied to all or a portion of the units. Please respond with clarification.</p>	<p>The daylight dimmers that are called for in Specification Section 08-6223 for the tubular day lighting devices are applicable to all units.</p>		
22	23	Telamon	06/30/10	<p>Would it be acceptable to use 13/16 X 1/16 slotted holes in the shear tabs and angle end clips on the columns and beams?</p>		7/7/10	
23	24	Telamon	07/02/10	<p>Reference the Tubular Daylighting Devices & clarify the following: How many power supply/key pads will be needed? The key pads can operate up to 10 daylight device i.e. if a class room has 4 Sun Tunnels in it, we assume the owner would only want 1 switch to operate the daylight controllers vs. 4 individual switches</p>		7/9/10	

RFP# CO#	Description	Prime	Date	Amount	Status	Reason	Initiated by: (FWO or RFP)
001	PR #001: Provide expansion joints and expansion joint cover plates in Corridors B143 and C201A at doors B143A and B143B and C201A-A and C201A-B. Refer to PR Drawings 1.1 through 1.4 dated 3/31/10 for exact locations.						RFP 06/23/10
002	PR #002: Provide a draft curtain around Stair No. C102. Refer to PR Drawings 2.1 dated 3/31/10 and 2.2 through 2.7 dated 4/1/10.						RFP 06/23/10
003	PR #003: Add an accessible loading zone sign at the front of both the high school and middle school at the accessible ramps. Refer to PR Drawing 3.1 dated 4/6/10 for sign details.						RFP 06/23/10
004	PR #004: Change the swing of doors C201A and C301A from a 180-degree swing door to a 90-degree swing door. Also, add a 3 foot 4 inch high wall. Six inch concrete masonry units grouted full with eased edges. Wall to be 3 feet 4 inches long. Refer to PR Drawing 4.1 dated 4/6/10 for exact door and wall location.						RFP 06/23/10
005	PR #005: Provide a sign complying with ICC A117.1 staining: AREA OF REFUGE, and including the International Symbol of Accessibility at areas of refuge. This includes each door providing access to an area of refuge from an adjacent floor. Refer to PR Drawing 5.1 dated 4/7/10 for interior signage type.						RFP 6/23/2010
006	PR #006: Add two data cables to the first alarm panel in Corridor B105 near Room B109 and state the cost/credit to add an "Area of Refuge Call System" between the master annunciator and the remove call stations on the second and third floor landings in Stairwell Nos. C104 and C132. Reference PR Drawings 6.1 through 6.10 dated 4/15/10.						RFP 6/23/2010
007	PR #007: Modify Specification Section 142400, Hydraulic Elevators, Article 2.9.A.2, Rated Load, change from 2500 lb and Article 2.9.A.8.b. Inside depth change from 51 inches from back wall to front wall (return panels) to 65 inches from back wall to front wall (return panels).						RFP 6/23/2010
008	PR #008: Modify Specification Section 275116, Article 2.16 as indicated on Farming Howey's Proposal Request No. 8.						RFP 6/23/2010
009	PR #009: Delete Specification Section 074213 that was issued with Addendum 3 and replace with Specification Section 074213 provided with this Proposal request.						RFP 6/23/2010
010	PR #010: Remove integral window blinds from CW11 and CW12. In place of the integral blinds, provide roller shades per Specification Section 122413-Roller Window Shades.						RFP 6/23/2010
011	PR #011: Add six (6) window type "C" at the locations indicated on PR Drawing 11.1 dated 6/9/10. Match the masonry banding to other similar conditions and as indicated on the PR Drawing.						RFP 6/23/2010
				0			

Change Order # - first 3 digits = sequential project C.O. number; second 3 digits = prime contractor; third 3 digits = sequential contractor C.O. number